

ECFS - Email Filing

<PROCEEDING>96-45

<DATE>02/08/2005

<NAME>

<ADDRESS1>

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY>

<STATE>

<ZIP>

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC

<PHONE-NUMBER>

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>phazen@erols.com

<TEXT>My wife and I are seniors. We all ready pay large State and Federal taxes and a Universal Service Fee on our regular phone and other cell phone. I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable and overpriced for the value received..

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my 2nd wireless phone for safety, security and a few calls between us. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely, Philip and Ruth Hazen 330 Highview Rd., Tracys
Landing, MD 20779

Sincerely,

Philip Hazen
330 Highview Rd.
Tracys Landing, Maryland 20779